

**THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

BENJAMIN GEORGE,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Case No. 20 CV 6911
v.)	
)	
CITY OF CHICAGO, JAMES GARDINER)	Judge John Robert Blakey
CHARLES SIKANICH, CHICAGO POLICE)	
OFFICERS SOLOMON ING, PETER)	Magistrate Judge Beth Jantz
PALKA, DANIEL SMITH, BILOS,)	
JOSEPH FERRARA, SERGIO CORONA,)	
ORTIZ, AND UNKNOWN CHICAGO)	
POLICE OFFICERS,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

AGREED CONFIDENTIALITY ORDER

The parties to this Agreed Confidentiality Protective Order have agreed to the terms of this Order; accordingly, it is ORDERED:

1. Scope. All materials produced or adduced in the course of discovery, including initial disclosures, responses to discovery requests, deposition testimony and exhibits, and information derived directly therefrom (hereinafter collectively “documents”), shall be subject to this Order concerning Confidential Information as defined below. This Order is subject to the Local Rules of this District and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on matters of procedure and calculation of time periods.

2. Confidential Information. As used in this Order, “Confidential Information” means information designated as “CONFIDENTIAL-SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER” by the producing party that falls within one or more of the following categories: (a) information protected from disclosure by statute, including

the Illinois Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 ILCS 140/1, *et seq.*; (b) information that reveals trade secrets; (c) research, technical, commercial or financial information that the party has maintained as confidential; (d) medical information concerning any individual; (e) personal identity information; (f) income tax returns (including attached schedules and forms), W-2 forms and 1099 forms; (g) personnel or employment records of a person who is not a party to this case; (h) employment, disciplinary, or other information that is of a sensitive or non-public nature regarding plaintiffs, defendants, non-party witnesses, non-party employees of the City of Chicago. Such information includes, but is not limited to, private information in personnel files, such as employment applications, performance evaluations, tax forms, requests for medical leave and the like as well as personal and family information of police officers and state's attorneys, including residential information; and (i) any information contained within the following file materials that are otherwise prohibited from public disclosure by statute:

Any disciplinary actions, files and attachments to such files generated by the investigation of deaths in custody, uses of deadly force, and complaints of misconduct by Chicago police officers (generally referred to as "Log Number" files, "Complaint Register" (CR) files, "Universal" (U) files, or "Extraordinary Occurrence" (EO) files, or "Non-Disciplinary Intervention" (NDI) files) (collectively hereinafter referred to as "Log Files"), or by internal Chicago Police Department "Summary Punishment Action Requests" (SPARs). The parties reserve the right to seek greater protection of information or documents designated as Confidential Information through Court

intervention or by agreement of the parties.

3. Designation.

(a) A party may designate a document as Confidential Information for protection under this Order by placing or affixing the words “CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER” on the document and on all copies in a manner that will not interfere with the legibility of the document. As used in this Order, “copies” includes electronic images, duplicates, extracts, summaries or descriptions that contain the Confidential Information. The marking “CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER” shall be applied prior to or as soon as practicable after the documents are produced or disclosed. Applying the marking “CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER” to a document does not mean that the document has any status or protection by statute or otherwise except to the extent and for the purposes of this Order. Any copies that are made of any documents marked “CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER” shall also be so marked, except that indices, electronic databases or lists of documents that do not contain substantial portions or images of the text of marked documents and do not otherwise disclose the substance of the Confidential Information are not required to be marked.

(b) The designation of a document as Confidential Information is a certification by an attorney or a party appearing *pro se* that the document contains Confidential Information as defined in this order.

4. Depositions. Deposition testimony, including exhibits, is protected by this Order only if designated as "CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER" on the record at the time the testimony is taken. Such designation shall be specific as to the portions that contain Confidential Information. Deposition testimony or exhibits so designated shall be treated as Confidential Information protected by this Order. Within fourteen days after delivery of the transcript, a designating party may serve a Notice of Designation to all parties of record identifying additional specific portions of the transcript or exhibits that are designated Confidential Information, and thereafter those additional portions identified in the Notice of Designation shall also be protected under the terms of this Order. The failure to serve a timely Notice of Designation waives any designation of additional deposition testimony or exhibits as Confidential Information, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

5. Protection of Confidential Material.

(a) General Protections. Confidential Information shall not be used or disclosed by the parties, counsel for the parties or any other persons identified in subparagraph (b) for any purpose whatsoever other than in this litigation, including any appeal thereof.

(b) Limited Third-Party Disclosures. The parties and counsel for the parties shall not disclose or permit the disclosure of any Confidential Information to any third person or entity except as set-forth in subparagraphs (1)-(10). Subject to

these requirements, the following categories of persons may be allowed to review Confidential Information:

- (1) **Counsel.** Counsel for the parties and employees of counsel who have responsibility for the preparation and trial of the action;
- (2) **Parties.** Individual parties and employees and insurance carriers of a party but only to the extent counsel determines in good faith that the employee's assistance is reasonably necessary to the conduct of the litigation in which the information is disclosed or, in the case of insurance carriers, that the actual or alleged coverage or contract with the insurance carrier(s) pertains, may pertain, or allegedly pertains to the litigation;
- (3) **The Court and its personnel;**
- (4) **Court Reporters and Recordors.** Court reporters and recorders engaged for depositions;
- (5) **Contractors.** Those persons specifically engaged for the limited purpose of making copies of documents or organizing or processing documents, including outside vendors hired to process electronically stored documents;
- (6) **Consultants and Experts.** Consultants, investigators, or experts employed by the parties or counsel for the parties to assist in the preparation and trial of this action but only after such persons have completed the certification contained in Attachment A, Acknowledgment of Understanding and Agreement to Be Bound;
- (7) **Witnesses at depositions.** During their depositions, witnesses in this action to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary. Witnesses shall not retain a copy of documents containing Confidential Information, except witnesses may receive a copy of all exhibits marked at their depositions in connection with review of the transcripts. Pages of transcribed deposition testimony or exhibits to depositions that are designated as Confidential Information pursuant to the process set out in this Order must be separately bound by the court reporter and may not be disclosed to anyone except as permitted under this Order.

- (8) **Author or recipient.** The author or recipient of the document (not including the person who received the document in the course of the litigation);
- (9) **Individuals interviewed in the course of litigation.** Individuals interviewed as part of a party's investigation in this litigation for whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for the purpose of that investigation. The only Confidential Information that may be disclosed to these individuals is information that is reasonably necessary to disclose for the purpose of investigation in this litigation and such information may be disclosed only after such persons have completed the certification contained in Attachment B, Acknowledgment of Understanding and Agreement to Be Bound. Such individuals may not retain any copy, including but not limited to electronic or physical duplications, of any documents or other materials containing Confidential Information; and
- (10) **Others by Consent.** Other persons only by written consent of the producing party or upon order of the Court and on such conditions as may be agreed or ordered.

(c) **Control of Documents.** Counsel for the parties shall make reasonable efforts to prevent unauthorized or inadvertent disclosure of Confidential Information. Counsel shall maintain the originals of the forms signed by persons acknowledging their obligations under this Order for a period of three years after the termination of the case.

6. Redaction of Personal Identifying Information. Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions, the responding party shall have the right to redact from all documents produced in discovery social security numbers, dates of birth, and information covered by the Juvenile Court Act, as well as, for security reasons, all references to a current or former individual police officer's confidential information about him/herself and his/her family, including but not limited to, social security

number, home address, home and cellular telephone number(s), personal email address(es), the names of family members and the names of insurance beneficiaries.

7. Inadvertent Failure to Designate. An inadvertent failure to designate a document as Confidential Information does not, standing alone, waive the right to so designate the document; provided, however, that a failure to serve a timely Notice of Designation of deposition testimony as required by this Order, even if inadvertent, waives any protection for deposition testimony. If a party designates a document as Confidential Information after it was initially produced, the receiving party, on notification of the designation, must make a reasonable effort to assure that the document is treated in accordance with the provisions of this Order. No party shall be found to have violated this Order for failing to maintain the confidentiality of material during a time when that material has not been designated Confidential Information, even where the failure to so designate was inadvertent and where the material is subsequently designated Confidential Information.

8. Filing of Confidential Information. This Order does not, by itself, authorize the filing of any document under seal. Any party wishing to file a document designated as Confidential Information in connection with a motion, brief or other submission to the Court must comply with Local Rule 26.2.

9. No Greater Protection of Specific Documents; Conferral. Except on privilege grounds not addressed by this Order, no party may withhold information from discovery on the ground that it requires protection greater than that afforded by this Order unless the party moves for an order providing such special

protection. Prior to moving the Court for special protection of given documents, the parties will meet and confer regarding any potential elevated designation of a document or portion of documents, and agree that any such elevated designations may be made by agreement while appropriate.

10. Challenges by a Party to Designation as Confidential Information. The designation of any material or document as Confidential Information is subject to challenge by any party. The following procedure shall apply to any such challenge.

(a) Meet and Confer. A party challenging the designation of Confidential Information must do so in good faith and must begin the process by conferring directly with counsel for the designating party. In conferring, the challenging party must explain the basis for its belief that the confidentiality designation was not proper and must give the designating party an opportunity to review the designated material, to reconsider the designation, and, if no change in designation is offered, to explain the basis for the designation. The designating party must respond to the challenge within five (5) business days.

(b) Judicial Intervention. A party that elects to challenge a confidentiality designation may file and serve a motion that identifies the challenged material and sets forth in detail the basis for the challenge. Each such motion must be accompanied by a competent declaration that affirms that the movant has complied with the meet and confer requirements of this procedure. The burden of persuasion in any such challenge proceeding shall be on the designating party. Until

the Court rules on the challenge, all parties shall continue to treat the materials as Confidential Information under the terms of this Order.

11. Action by the Court. Applications to the Court for an order relating to materials or documents designated Confidential Information shall be by motion. Nothing in this Order or any action or agreement of a party under this Order limits the Court's power to make orders concerning the disclosure of documents produced in discovery or at trial.

12. Use of Confidential Information at Trial. Nothing in this Order shall be construed to affect the admissibility of any document, material, or information at any trial or hearing. A party that intends to present or which anticipates that another party may present Confidential Information at a hearing or trial shall bring that issue to the Court's and parties' attention by motion or in a pretrial memorandum without disclosing the Confidential Information. The Court may thereafter make such orders as are necessary to govern the use of such documents or information at trial.

13. Confidential Information Subpoenaed or Ordered Produced in Other Litigation.

(a) If a receiving party is served with a subpoena or an order issued in other litigation that would compel disclosure of any material or document designated in this action as Confidential Information, the receiving party must so notify the designating party, in writing, immediately and in no event more than three

court days after receiving the subpoena or order. Such notification must include a copy of the subpoena or court order.

(b) The receiving party also must immediately inform in writing the party who caused the subpoena or order to issue in the other litigation that some or all of the material covered by the subpoena or order is the subject of this Order. In addition, the receiving party must deliver a copy of this Order promptly to the party in the other action that caused the subpoena to issue.

(c) The purpose of imposing these duties is to alert the interested persons to the existence of this Order and to afford the designating party in this case an opportunity to try to protect its Confidential Information in the court from which the subpoena or order issued. The designating party shall bear the burden and the expense of seeking protection in that court of its Confidential Information, and nothing in these provisions should be construed as authorizing a receiving party in this action to disobey a lawful directive from another court. The obligations set forth in this Paragraph remain in effect while the party has in its possession, custody or control Confidential Information by the other party to this case.

14. Challenges by Members of the Public to Sealing Orders. A party or interested member of the public has a right to challenge the sealing of particular documents that have been filed under seal, and the party asserting confidentiality will have the burden of demonstrating the propriety of filing under seal.

15. Obligations on Conclusion of Litigation.

(a) Order Continues in Force. Unless otherwise agreed or ordered, this Order shall remain in force after dismissal or entry of final judgment not subject to further appeal.

(b) Obligations at Conclusion of Litigation. Within sixty-three days after dismissal or entry of final judgment not subject to further appeal, all Confidential Information and documents marked “CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER” shall be returned to the producing party unless: (1) the document has been offered into evidence or filed without restriction as to disclosure; (2) the parties agree to destruction in lieu of return; or (3) as to documents bearing the notations, summations, or other mental impressions of the receiving party, that party elects to destroy the documents and certifies to the producing party that it has done so.

(c) Retention of Work Product and one set of Filed Documents. Notwithstanding the above requirements to return or destroy documents, counsel may retain (1) attorney work product, including an index that refers or relates to designated Confidential Information so long as that work product does not duplicate verbatim substantial portions of Confidential Information, and (2) one complete set of all documents filed with the Court including those filed under seal. Any retained Confidential Information shall continue to be protected under this Order. An attorney may use his or her work product in subsequent litigation, provided that its use does not disclose or use Confidential Information.

(d) Deletion of Documents filed under Seal from Electronic Case Filing (ECF) System. Filings under seal shall be deleted from the ECF system only upon order of the Court.

16. Order Subject to Modification. This Order shall be subject to modification by the Court on its own initiative or on motion of a party or any other person with standing concerning the subject matter.


17. No Prior Judicial Determination. This Order is entered based on the representations and agreements of the parties and for the purpose of facilitating discovery. Nothing herein shall be construed or presented as a judicial determination that any document or material designated Confidential Information by counsel or the parties is entitled to protection under Rule 26(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or otherwise until such time as the Court may rule on a specific document or issue.

18. Persons Bound. This Order shall take effect when entered and shall be binding upon all counsel of record and their law firms, the parties, and persons made subject to this Order by its terms.

So Ordered.

Dated: September 20, 2022

Entered:



John Robert Blakey
United States District Judge

ATTACHMENT A

**THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

BENJAMIN GEORGE,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Case No. 20 CV 6911
v.)	
)	
CITY OF CHICAGO, JAMES GARDINER)	Judge John Robert Blakey
CHARLES SIKANICH, CHICAGO POLICE)	
OFFICERS SOLOMON ING, PETER)	Magistrate Judge Beth Jantz
PALKA, DANIEL SMITH, BILOS,)	
JOSEPH FERRARA, SERGIO CORONA,)	
ORTIZ, AND UNKNOWN CHICAGO)	
POLICE OFFICERS,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND AGREEMENT TO BE BOUND

The undersigned hereby acknowledges that he/she has read the Confidentiality Order dated September 20, 2022 in the above-captioned action and attached hereto, understands the terms thereof, and agrees to be bound by its terms. The undersigned submits to the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois in matters relating to the Confidentiality Order and understands that the terms of the Confidentiality Order obligate him/her to use materials designated as Confidential Information in accordance with the Order solely for the purposes of the above-captioned action, and not to disclose any such Confidential Information to any other person, firm or concern.

The undersigned acknowledges that violation of the Confidentiality Order may result in penalties for contempt of court.

Name: _____

Job Title: _____

Employer: _____

Business
Address: _____

Date: _____

Signature: _____

ATTACHMENT B

**THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

BENJAMIN GEORGE,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Case No. 20 CV 6911
v.)	
)	
CITY OF CHICAGO, JAMES GARDINER)	Judge John Robert Blakey
CHARLES SIKANICH, CHICAGO POLICE)	
OFFICERS SOLOMON ING, PETER)	Magistrate Judge Beth Jantz
PALKA, DANIEL SMITH, BILOS,)	
JOSEPH FERRARA, SERGIO CORONA,)	
ORTIZ, AND UNKNOWN CHICAGO)	
POLICE OFFICERS,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND AGREEMENT TO BE BOUND

1. The undersigned hereby acknowledges (a) that the terms of the Confidentiality Order dated September 20, 2022 in the above-captioned action and attached hereto have been described and explained to him/her, (b) that he/she understands those terms, and (c) that he/she agrees to be bound by its terms.

2. The undersigned understands that the terms of the Confidentiality Order obligate him/her to keep Confidential Information confidential in accordance with the Order solely for the purposes of the above-captioned action.

3. The undersigned understands and agrees to keep Confidential Information in strict confidence. The undersigned understands that he/she is not to disclose or share any Confidential Information to or with any person or entity. The

undersigned further understands that he/she is specifically prohibited from disclosing or sharing any Confidential Information to any person or entity in any manner whatsoever, including, but not limited to, orally, in writing, or any other method of communication.

4. The undersigned acknowledges that violation of the Confidentiality Order may result in penalties for contempt of court.

Name: _____

Address: _____

Telephone Number: _____

Date: _____

Signature: _____